

Does social media enhance or hinder interpersonal relationships

Does social media enhance or hinder interpersonal relationships

Instructor: Paul Pistone

PHI 103: Informal Logic

Ashford University

Crystal Vining

April 1, 2017

[no notes on this page]

P1: Social media- Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and snap chat are different forms of <sup>1</sup> communication. <sup>2</sup>

P2: Communication has become weakened through the forms of social media

P3: Interpersonal relationships absence the necessities that come along with getting to know another person due to the uprising of social media. <sup>3</sup>

Conclusion: Consequently, in the end, social media can hinder relationships.

Before social media came into effect, many people used to communicate the old fashion way, by land line home phones or in person. Many people developed long time relationships, friendship, enjoyment of going on outings, or just hanging out was the in thing. Now in this current time with the advancement of technology, we find this to be obsolete. The amount of pressure that has taken over from social media of an individual wanting to be a part of (social media) has in fact taken effect on relationships.

The first premise of argument suggests that social media sites have become this <sup>4</sup> generation (present time) way of communicating with one another. People now take fulfillment with being able to show their feelings through social media, rather than having to see someone face to face. Social media gives the power to the consumer that they can communicate with family if they live far away, or even conduct business for employees from another state. Social media is and can be a very useful tool but additionally can become a hindrance as well. <sup>5</sup>

Communication <sup>6</sup> through social media also has its weaknesses. Some people tend to be concealed behind the comfort of a computer or their smartphone. We tend to give the deception of manipulation through the security of being involved in social media.

#### 1. forms

Do you mean avenues?  
[Paul Pistone]

#### 2. communication.

It isn't clear how this relates to your argument. Premises should lead one to the conclusion or support the conclusion. [Paul Pistone]

#### 3. media.

This premise is unclear  
[Paul Pistone]

#### 4. another.

This is not what this says though. [Paul Pistone]

#### 5. well.

This seem unrelated to P1.  
Make them relate a bit better next time [Paul Pistone]

#### 6. Communication

Raise P2 here [Paul Pistone]

“Social media require potential targets and would-be deceivers alike to expand their perspective on how interactions are viewed between receiver and sender during deception;”

(TSIKERDEKIS, M., & ZEADALLY, S. (2014). It is entirely possible to become relaxed with the convenience of social media at your fingertips. However, it is the interpersonal relationships that will be affected in the end.

Interpersonal relationships lack the necessities needed that come along with getting to know someone when you have been fixated on social media. This attachment includes missing person to person conversation (face to face) knowing a person's like or dislikes with being in the company of another individual through for example; date night, hobbies, dinner and much more in the end.

Knowing that social media has its pros and cons is a win, lose, or draw when it comes to relationships. Many relationships suffer because of the hindrance of social media due to the shortfall of communication skills that go along with getting to know a person. Social media does have a significant influence on its different abilities to maintain relationships through social media. Relationships have the outcome of being successful or non-successful when it comes to this. The only way for interpersonal relationships to work with the cost of social media is that there has to be an awareness of the possibility of it becoming a possible limitation to someone.

#### Reference:

TSIKERDEKIS, M., & ZEADALLY, S. (2014). Online Deception in Social Media.

Communications Of The ACM, 57(9), 72-80. doi:10.1145/2629612